COURT FEE INDIA TWENTY RUPEES

SINGLE BENCH

C-f-1001

CANCELLED

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BILASPUR (C.G.)

W.P. (S) NO...353/.../2006

PETITIONER

Ghasiya Ram Mandle, aged about 45 years, S/o Late Shri Bugloo Ram Mandle at Present working as a Assistant Vetnary Field Officer, Vetnary Hospital, Nagri, District-Dhamtari (CG)

P.R. No. 3525/06
Presented by Shri N P Kashyap
dated 13/12/06

Versus

RESPONDENTS

1. State of Chhattisgarh, Through the Secretary, Agriculture, (Animal Husbandry) Department D.K.S Bhawan, Raipur (C.G.)
2. Director, Veterinary Health Services, Raipur District-Raipur.
3. Deputy Director, Veterinary Health Services, Dhamtari
4. Assistant Veterinary Officer, Veterinary Hospital- Nagri District – Dhamtari.
5. Assistant Veterinary Officer, Veterinary Hospital Dantewara District – Dhamtari.

RECEIVED-COPY
13/12/06
CLERK to A. G. BILASPUR

PETITION UNDER ARTICLE 226 OF THE CONSTITUTION OF INDIA FOR ISSUANCE OF APPROPRIATE WRITS OF MANDAMUS AND CERTIORARY AND FOR OTHER SUITABLE WRITS AND DIRECTIONS :-

1. **PARTICULARS OF THE PETITIONER :**

As stated above in the cause title,

XI-HC-78

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

(44)

मामला क्रमांक ....W.P.3513/**सन् 200** 6

आदेश पत्रक (पूर्वानुबद्ध)

आदेश का दिनांक तथा आदेश क्रमांक	हस्ताक्षर सहित आदेश	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अन्तिम आदेश
	25-8-2006 Mr. Vishnu Koshta, counsel for the petitioner. Miss Deepali Pandey, Panel Lawyer for the State/respondents, on advance copy. Heard both the counsel. By the instant writ petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India, the petitioner has challenged his transfer order dated 30-6-2006 whereby he has been transferred from Dhamtari to Dantewada. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner has already made a representation to respondent No.1, same is pending and it is not being decided. Therefore, learned counsel further submits that the petitioner may be allowed to make a detailed representation afresh to respondent No.1 raising all his grievances and respondent No.1 be directed to decide the same, to which learned counsel appearing for the State/respondents has no objection. Having heard learned counsel for the parties, having regard to the facts and circumstances of the case and without expressing any opinion on merit of the case, the request made by learned counsel for the petitioner is just, fair and reasonable. Therefore, the petitioner is allowed to make a detailed representation raising all his grievances annexing a copy of the writ petition and its annexures to respondent No.1 within ten days from today and respondent No.1 is directed to decide the representation within one month from the date of its receipt after considering the points raised by the petitioner, in an objective manner, on its own merits, in accordance with law. With the direction aforesaid, the petition stands disposed of. Consequently, M.(W.)P.No.2703/2006 also stands disposed of. Parties are entitled for certified copy of this order. **Sd/-** **L.C. Bhadoo** **Judge**	
Soma		P.T.O.

29-8-06